Effects of early social isolation on the behaviour and performance of juvenile lizards, *Chamaeleo calyptratus*
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Although reptiles have traditionally been viewed as asocial, the recent discovery of complex social systems in lizards suggests that an animal's social behaviour may be shaped by its interactions with conspecifics early in life, as occurs in endothermic vertebrates. We reared hatchling veiled chameleons, *Chamaeleo calyptratus*, either in isolation or in groups of four, using a split-clutch design. Social interactions during the first 2 months of life substantially affected a chameleon’s subsequent responses to newly encountered conspecifics in standardized trials: animals reared in isolation were more submissive, and adopted darker and duller colours. Isolation-reared lizards also performed less well in a foraging task. Thus, social isolation early in life can impair the development of squamate reptiles, as it does in mammals and birds.

© 2013 The Association for the Study of Animal Behaviour. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

In many vertebrate species, social interactions play a central role in every individual's life, and exposure to conspecifcs is critically important in allowing behavioural development during ontogeny. Thus, individuals that are reared in social isolation for the first several months of life exhibit severe impairment of social behaviour ([Lukkes, Mokin, Scholl, & Forster, 2009], mental performance ([Eimon, 1980]) and foraging ability ([Heidbreder et al., 2000]) in many species of birds and mammals ([Beach, 1968; Broom & Leaver, 1978; Rosen & Hart, 1963]).

To our knowledge, the effects of social isolation on behavioural ontogeny have never been explored in ectothermic vertebrates, despite accumulating evidence that many reptiles exhibit complex social systems that include, for example, long-term monogamy ([Bull, 2000], extended parental defence of offspring ([O’Connor & Shine, 2003]), and individual and kin recognition ([Clark, 2004; Main & Bull, 1996; O’Connor & Shine, 2006]). Many reptiles spend the first few months of their life with siblings or as part of a family group ([Burghardt, Greene, & Rand, 1977]), sometimes containing many individuals ([Stow, Sunnucks, Briscoe, & Gardner, 2001]). Juveniles often engage in intense agonistic interactions with each other ([Stamps, 1983a, 1983b]). Thus by analogy with ‘social’ birds and mammals, we might expect that cognitive development in at least some reptiles would be affected by social interactions during early ontogeny.

We selected chameleons as a study animal. Large clutch sizes ([Andrews, 2008]) and relatively poor dispersal abilities ([Krysko, Enge, & King, 2004]) suggest that hatchling chameleons may often encounter each other in the field. Although specific data are lacking for most species, hatchling chameleons are known to aggregate briefly in the wild as a result of synchronous hatching within clutches ([Andrews, 2004; az-Paniagua, 2007; Karsten, Andriamanimbiasoa, Fox, & Raxworthy, 2008]). Conveniently for our study, chameleons use colour change to signal dominance and submission, allowing us to obtain objective measures of socially relevant signals ([Schmidt, 2001; Stuart-Fox & Moussalli, 2008]). Additionally, the rapid growth and early maturation of most chameleon species ([Schmidt, 2001]) means that even a relatively short-term study can encompass a high proportion of juvenile life.

**METHODS**

**Study Species**

Veiled chameleons, *Chamaeleo calyptratus*, are large and colourful arboreal lizards that are native to western Yemen and southern Saudi Arabia ([De Vosjoli & Ferguson, 1995]). Despite their popularity in zoos and as pets, we know little about the behavioural ecology of this species in its native range, because fieldwork is difficult in the areas it inhabits. In captivity, both male and female chameleons (including *C. calyptratus*) are intolerant of conspecifics and readily display to them ([De Vosjoli & Ferguson, 1995]). Our captive veiled chameleons were aggressive towards conspecifics (of both sexes) in all age groups, from hatchlings through to adults (personal observations).
**Animal Husbandry**

In September 2011, we collected recently oviposited eggs from captive chameleons at the University of Sydney and placed the eggs into either ‘cold’ (25 °C) or ‘warm’ (28 °C) incubation conditions, using a split-clutch design. Incubation temperature did not affect any of the conclusions in the current paper (i.e. there were no significant interactions between incubation temperature and rearing condition [isolation versus group]). Our analyses included incubation temperature as an additional predictor variable, to remove any influence of this factor, but (for simplicity) we do not report these nonsignificant effects here.

Immediately after hatching, neonates were weighed and measured (mean mass ± SE = 1.02 ± 0.13 g, mean snout–vent length ± SE = 33.3 ± 2.26 mm), then randomly placed into either isolated plastic terraria with opaque walls (170 × 190 mm and 240 mm high) or into group enclosures with three other animals. The plastic group enclosures were approximately four times the size of the isolated terraria (200 × 330 mm and 510 mm high), to ensure that chameleons in both treatments had approximately equal space per animal. One overhead 40 W heat lamp was allocated to each group enclosure, or shared equally among a cluster of four isolated enclosures. Mesh tops were fitted to enclosures, and cages were filled with a dense arrangement of artificial plants and vines that served as shelter and climbing material.

All chameleons were fed crickets dusted with calcium and multivitamins ad libitum every day, sprayed with a mist of water twice daily, and kept on a 9:15 h light:dark photoperiod cycle. Animals in groups were monitored for in-group aggression and examined for injuries twice daily during husbandry procedures. The most severe form of aggression exhibited was body compressions and colour changes. If aggression escalated beyond visual displays, animals would have been immediately separated; however, this was never necessary, as animals in groups seemed to coexist without physical conflict. Animals were placed in isolated enclosures after the experimental period, and later distributed to Zoo and Aquarium Association-accredited zoos or other research institutions, as stated in the University of Sydney animal ethics agreement. Animals were placed in cloth pillowcases when being moved between sites to minimize stress.

**Latency to Begin Foraging**

Chameleon foraging ability was tested when animals ate for the first time (age 3−5 days), using crickets that were <3 mm, and again when the animals were 1 month (30 days) and 2 months (60 days) of age, using crickets that were 3−5 mm in length. Foraging ability was measured as the lizard’s latency of approach, or the duration of time from when the focal animal was exposed to the crickets until it attempted capture using characteristic ‘ballistic’ tongue movement. At the beginning of an individual’s trial, a single lizard was placed under an opaque hide in the middle of a sterile empty enclosure (170 × 190 mm and 240 mm high) and allowed 5 min to settle before the hide was lifted and the lizard was exposed to three crickets moving in the container. A camera was fixed directly above the experimental enclosure to record foraging trials. We scored 769 video recordings for behavioural analysis. The trial stopped when an animal successfully captured a cricket.

**Social Behaviour**

When the chameleons were 2 months old (May 2012), we set up staged interactions between males (N = 15 contests between 22 individuals) and between females (N = 11 contests between 13 individuals). We used two animals of approximately equal body size (mass) in each contest. The maximum difference in mass (g) between contestants was 11.0% (mean disparity in mass ± SE = 4.9 ± 6.4%). All contests were between two lizards of the same sex, and each contest included one individual reared in isolation and one individual reared in a group. The discrepancy in sample sizes between sexes was due to a skewed sex ratio at hatching, plus rapid growth rates that resulted in divergence in body sizes of animals that hatched only a short period apart. Some individuals were used in more than one contest, and so we included individual ID as a random variable to control for pseudoreplication in the analysis. At 25 °C (the mean selected body temperature for this species; De Vosjoli & Ferguson, 1995), two individuals were placed on opposite sides of an enclosure (170 × 190 mm and 240 mm high) and beneath separate hides without visual or olfactory access to one another for 15 min prior to each trial. After the resting period, hides were lifted and animals were able to interact.

Chameleons immediately responded to conspecifics, as evidenced by colour change and signal modifiers such as lateral flattening, rocking back and forth, gaping, and rapidly curling/uncurling tails. In the current experiment, we quantified aggression and submission during chameleon interactions using the following behaviours and associated weighted scores (numbers in parentheses show weightings).

We scored the following aggressive behaviours.

1. ‘Chase’: the chameleon directly approaches its opponent.
2. ‘Tail display’: the chameleon quickly curls and uncurls its tail (which, when extended, is approximately the same length as its body).
3. ‘Gape’: the chameleon opens its mouth towards its opponent and rocks back and forth.
4. ‘Lateral display’: the chameleon responds to its opponent with body compressions, body tilting and throat extensions. This behaviour is usually coupled with dramatic colour changes and forwards−backwards rocking.

We also scored the following submissive behaviours.

1. ‘Flee’: the chameleon runs away from its opponent.
2. ‘Ball curl’: the chameleon lies on its side motionless, typically adopting solid black coloration.

A camera was fixed directly above the experimental enclosure to record social trials. Based on animals’ responses within the 20 min contest duration, we assigned each individual an aggression score and a submission score. Based upon preliminary studies conducted on different individuals, we found that 20 min was a sufficient amount of time for animals to interact and to distinguish a clear winner and loser. Three colour measurements were taken consecutively at the end of the contest, while the animal was exhibiting dominance or submission. Thus, all animals had equal exposure to competitors before colour quantification. We were unable to quantify ‘resting’ colours of the animals, because they respond to approaching observers with rapid colour change that does not resemble resting colour. In contrast, during social interactions the animals ignored the observer and thus their colours were readily measured. No lizards were bitten or injured during these interactions. All experimental procedures were approved by the University of Sydney Animal Ethics Committee (L04/4-2012/3/5678).

**Quantification of Colour**

When chameleons maintained winning or losing behaviour for at least 20 s at the end of the contest, we took three reflectance measurements from one location on the body using a USB2000 spectrometer (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, FL, U.S.A.), with an R200 fibre-optic reflectance probe and cylindrical plastic sheath to block out external light, with a PX-2 pulsed xenon lamp as a
light source. Dark and reference scans from a WS-2 white standard were obtained before each set of measurements. The fibre-optic probe was held at 90° on a probe mount to ensure readings were taken exactly 4 mm from the body surface. Using OOI-Base32 spec software (Ocean Optics Inc.), we obtained reflectance measurements on the fourth quadrant of an individual’s torso (on the side facing the competitor: Fig. 1). The diameter of each measurement was 3 mm, and we used the average of these measurements for our analyses. We quantified green-yellow (GY) brightness and saturation from the raw reflectance data. Average GY brightness is the average reflectance value from R500–600. GY saturation was calculated using the formula R500–600/R300–700 (Hill & McGraw, 2006).

Statistical Analysis

Latency to begin foraging

In all analyses we included two factors: incubation temperature of the eggs (25 versus 28 °C) and rearing condition of the hatchlings (isolated versus group). For the traits we consider here, there were no interactions between incubation temperature and other variables (such as rearing condition or sex), so we do not report these incubation effects. Instead, we focus on the main effects of rearing conditions and sex, and their interactions. We also included mother ID and individual ID in the analyses as random factors. To explore differences in foraging behaviour between treatments, we ran mixed-model analyses entering offspring ID and dams as random variables with rearing environment (isolated versus group rearing), sex, temperature (25 versus 28 °C), age and each individual’s sequential trial number (1–5) as fixed factors. We also included mother ID and individual ID in the analyses as random factors. To explore possible interactions in the analyses. Log-transformed body mass was included as a covariate (SAS/STAT, 9.1, User’s Guide, Vol. 4. 2004, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, U.S.A.).

Social Behaviour and Colour

To explore the determinants of variation in behaviour (log-transformed scores for aggression and submission) and colour (GY saturation, GY brightness; Fig. 1), we ran mixed-model analyses entering offspring ID and dams as random variables, and sex, rearing environment (social versus isolation), temperature (25 versus 28 °C) and their interaction as fixed factors. Log-transformed body mass was included as a covariate. Random effects were tested for significance by removing one random factor at a time and taking the difference between the −2 log likelihoods. This was tested against a chi-square distribution with one degree of freedom (per removed random factor; SAS/STAT 9.1, User’s Guide, Vol. 4, page 2741).

RESULTS

Latency to Begin Foraging

Latency to attack (the time it took for animals to capture prey after the prey was dropped in the enclosure) was affected by rearing environment, with group-reared animals seizing their prey sooner during solitary experiments than their isolation-reared siblings (F1,40 = 4.19, P = 0.047; Fig. 2). Latency to attack was also affected by a lizard’s age, with older individuals capturing prey sooner than younger conspecifics (F2,595 = 13.50, P < 0.0001).

Social Behaviour and Colour

The level of aggression that a lizard exhibited during our standardized trials did not differ significantly between animals that had been reared in groups versus in social isolation (F1,28 = 0.08, P = 0.786; Fig. 3). However, individuals reared in isolation displayed more submissive behaviours than individuals that had been reared with conspecifics (F1,32 = 8.80, P = 0.006). Group-reared animals also exhibited a higher chroma (GY saturation) and were brighter (GY chroma: F1,34 = 8.77, P = 0.006; GY brightness: F1,33 = 14.08, P = 0.001; Fig. 3). To summarize, lizards reared in isolation were not only more submissive, but also adopted darker and less green colours than conspecifics that had been reared in groups.
DISCUSSION

Recent decades have seen a dramatic paradigm shift in ideas about social complexity in 'lower' vertebrates such as squamate reptiles (Leal & Powell, 2012; Wilkinson, Kuenstner, Mueller, & Huber, 2010). Early research typically assumed that reptiles were 'simple' socially, with limited behavioural flexibility (review in Northcutt & Heath, 1971). Accordingly, behavioural traits of reptiles were generally viewed as highly stereotyped fixed-action patterns, differing between species but not changing in response to the conditions that an individual experienced during its lifetime (Glickman & Sroges, 1966). That paradigm has been replaced by an increasing appreciation of the subtlety, flexibility and complexity of reptile behaviour. Rather than slow machine-like creatures, squamate reptiles are capable of flexibly adjusting their behaviour to local conditions, and, thus, the responses of reptiles are strongly influenced by local environments as well as by heritable (genetic) factors (Stamps & Groothuis, 2010).

Figure 3. Effects of sex and rearing conditions (social versus isolated) on a hatchling chameleon's response to social stimuli at 2 months of age. (a, b) Submissive behaviours; (c, d) aggressive behaviour; (e, f) chroma and (g, h) brightness. Means are shown ±SE.
Ontogenetic flexibility in squamate is manifested in many traits, and in response to many external factors. Unsurprisingly, traits such as growth rates and age at maturity (and, thus, of the onset of sexual behaviour) are highly sensitive to nutritional and thermoregulatory opportunities (Sinervo & Adolph, 1989). Thermal and hydric conditions during egg incubation can also exert profound effects on phenotypic traits of the resultant hatchlings (Elphick & Shine, 1998) including behaviour (Amiel & Shine, 2012; Burger, 1991). Local environmental conditions can also drive major shifts in behaviours such as thermoregulatory tactics (Huey, 1982). Cues from conspecifics can influence ‘social’ behaviours such as mate selection criteria in garter snakes, Thamnophis sirtalis (Shine, Webb, Lane, & Mason, 2006) and the specific form of visual (head-bobbing) signals in the lizard Anolis carolinensis (Decourcy & Jenssen, 1994).

Our study reveals similar flexibility in young chameleons, as a function of their social interactions early in life. Juvenile chameleons that had been reared in isolation were less competent in their interactions with conspecifics, fleeing and curling into a ball during social trials. In contrast, their siblings that had been reared in groups interacted with each other, and displayed colours, in ways that increased their abilities to win contests against conspecifics. Thus, encounters with conspecics early in life may shape a chameleon’s subsequent behaviour, as it does in many species of endothermic vertebrates. A young lizard’s social experience also affected its performance in a foraging trial. The neonates that were reared in social groups (rather than isolation) may have benefited from early exposure to competition from conspecifics, favouring behaviours that enable a lizard to seize a prey item before it is taken by a conspecific; and familiarity with intraspecific agonistic encounters may also have facilitated an individual’s performance in social tasks.

Our results challenge the implicit assumption that early social experience affects behaviour (and, specifically, an animal’s social competence) only in ‘higher’ vertebrates such as mammals and birds. Instead, social tactics and abilities may be flexibly driven by early social experience in a wide range of species, including the squamate reptiles that have traditionally been viewed as too ‘simple’ and ‘inflexible’ to exhibit such sensitivity. To understand how reptiles interact with each other, we need to abandon the view that their social behaviours are dictated largely or entirely by genes. More generally, ideas that squamates are behaviourally simple and inflexible are inconsistent with emerging evidence of their capacity to solve cognitive tasks (Amiel & Shine, 2012; Leal & Powell, 2012; Wilkinson, Chan, & Hall, 2007), exhibit social learning (Wilkinson et al., 2010), and demonstrate complex intraspecific variation not only in body sizes, shapes and dietary habits (Somaweera, Webb, Brown, & Shine, 2011; Verwaaijen & Van Damme, 2008), but also in mating systems (Huang, Greene, Chang, & Shine, 2011; Shine & Fitzgerald, 1995).

We doubt that veiled chameleons are unique in their ability to adjust their social tactics in response to local conditions. Indeed, so far as we know, chameleons exhibit simpler social systems than many other lizards, notably viviparous scincid species that live in groups of closely related kin (Gardiner, Bull, Cooper, & Duffield, 2001). In such taxa, individuals may spend much of their time in close proximity to their parents, to older relatives, and to siblings and other young conspecifics (Gardner et al., 2001; Main & Bull, 1996). In at least some of these species, individuals can recognize other individuals based upon either genetic relatedness (Clark, 2004; enantiomers with conspecifics, 2002). Plausibly, rearing such animals in isolation might severely impair their abilities at subsequent social interactions, as it would in the highly social mammals and birds that have attracted intensive studies in this respect. More generally, future research could usefully explore the possibility that squamate reptiles are far more responsive to social cues than we have expected; hence, experimental methods that have clarified important phenomena in endotherms (such as isolation rearing) could usefully be extended to ectothermic taxa that have been regarded as unworthy of study in such ways.

More broadly, sensitivity to early social environment may differ between species within lineages, rather than be present versus absent in entire groups. For example, a reliance upon rearing environment may be greatest for taxa that communicate using complex signals that need to be fine-tuned by external rather than genetic input (as in humans, cetaceans, some bats and three bird orders: Beecher & Brenowitz, 2005). For example, male passerines use complex song to negotiate territory boundaries with other males. Therefore, young male passerines benefit from early exposure to conspecifics, and animals reared in isolation exhibit a reduced ability to process or produce complex social signals (Beecher, 2008). Male white-crowned sparrows, Zonotrichia leucomyrs nuttalli, placed in auditory isolation after hatching, eventually (as adults) produce songs that lack fine detail, or consist of only broken whistles (Marler, 1970). A similar dependence upon social interactions to fine-tune signalling may also occur in squamates that communicate via substrate vibrations (Hill, 2001) or complex visual displays (Ord & Martins, 2006). In many reptiles, status recognition or previous experience overrides other traits as predictors of contest success (Burghardt, 1977; Mathis & Britzke, 1999; Stuart-Fox & Johnston, 2005; Zucker & Murray, 1996). Social interactions among juveniles have rarely been documented in these species, but in others, juveniles interact frequently and establish dominance over nearby conspecifics (Stamps, 1978, 1983b). The potential influence of an individual’s social environment during juvenile life on its success in contests during adult life has yet to be experimentally evaluated.

What proximate mechanisms might allow the social-rearing environment to generate behavioural differences in animals? In mammals, social isolation impairs cognitive development via long-term cellular and synaptic changes, which adversely affect learning, memory and adult behaviour (Baa rendse, Counotte, Donnell, & Vanderschuren, 2013; Einon & Morgan, 1977; Thompson & Heron, 1954). Rearing mammals in social isolation also has profound and long-term neurochemical consequences such as abnormal dopaminergic neuronal activity and cortisol levels (Fone & Porkess, 2008). Knowing whether or not the same physiological and molecular mechanisms are involved in the effects of social isolation on reptiles, for example their physiological responses to stress, might clarify broader issues concerning the proximate mechanisms underlying the evolutionary origins of social behaviour.

Our results also have obvious implications for captive rearing of reptiles. These animals are commonly raised (by zoos, private keepers, etc.) in social isolation, under the assumption that social cues are irrelevant to ontogenetic development in these animals. Our results challenge that assumption, even for a putatively asocial lizard species. Both for animal welfare reasons and to facilitate subsequent effective breeding, we should closely examine the potential consequences of social isolation for young reptiles. More broadly, our study suggests a surprising commonality among a broad spectrum of species: an environment rich in social interaction may provide important (and, as yet, poorly understood) benefits for the wellbeing of the animal.
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